UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,768	07/20/2006	Andreas Meudt	2004DE302	7994
<sup>38263</sup> PROPAT, L.L. <b>0</b>	7590 12/01/200 C.	EXAMINER		
425-C SOUTH	SHARON AMITY RO	MABRY, JOHN		
CHARLOTTE, NC 28211-2841			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/586,768	MEUDT ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JOHN MABRY	1625			
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_			
(1) <u>JOHN MABRY</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Cathy Moore</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>11/24/2009</u>	<b>Time</b> : <u>9:55 am</u>				
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a					
Claims discussed: n/a					
Prior art documents discussed: n/a					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:					
See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>					
/John Mabry/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Application No. 10/586,768

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: POTENTIAL ABANDONMENT FOR FAILURE TO RESPOND - A phone message was left for attorney of record, Cathy Moore. A returned call was received from office representative Claire Wygand. Examiner and Ms. Wygand discussed via telephone if Applicant sent a Response to a Final Rejection mailed on May 22, 2009. Ms. Wygand told Examiner that Applicant did not send a Response to Application 10/586,768, filed on July 20, 2006.